

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 21-0532V

(not to be published)

CANDY L. CHAPMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 15, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorney's Fees and Costs

*Kathy Ann Lee, Christie Farrell Lee & Bell, P.C., Indianapolis, IN, for Petitioner.*

*Amanda Pasciuto, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On January 11, 2021, Candy L. Chapman filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that that as a result of influenza and tetanus diphtheria-acellular pertussis vaccines received in her left shoulder on April 5, 2019, she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration. Petition at 1. On August 12, 2022, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 38.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated January 13, 2023 (ECF No. 45), requesting a total award of \$24,539.46 (representing \$23,305.20 in fees and \$1,234.26 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 45-5. Respondent reacted to the motion on January 18, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. ECF No. 46. On January 18, 2023, Petitioner filed a reply requesting that she be awarded the requested fees and costs as indicated. ECF No. 47.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reason(s) listed below.

### **ANALYSIS**

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

## ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests compensation for her attorney, Kathy A. Lee, at the following rates: \$388 per hour for time billed in 2019; \$404 per hour for time billed in 2020; \$424 per hour for time billed in 2021; and \$470 per hour for time billed in 2022. ECF No. 45-2.

The hourly rates requested for Ms. Lee for her time billed in the 2019-21 period are reasonable, and consistent with previously-awarded rates, and shall therefore be awarded herein. Ms. Lee, however, has been found in prior decisions to be entitled to the rate of \$466 per hour for her time billed in 2022, less than what is being requested herein. See *Marshall v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 20-1203V, 2022 WL 17850142 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Dec. 20, 2022); *Camplin v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 20-1208V, 2022 WL 4127223 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Aug. 12, 2022). I find no reason to deviate from this determination, and it otherwise is not the practice of OSM to adjust a prior rate determination for a given year. See *Jefferson v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 19-1882V, 2023 WL 387051 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 9, 2023). (I will however, be amenable to considering larger rate increases for subsequent years).

Accordingly, I reduce Ms. Lee's rate to \$466 per hour for her time billed in 2022. This results in a reduction in attorney's fees to be awarded of **\$48.80**.<sup>3</sup>

## ATTORNEY COSTS

Petitioner requests \$1,234.26 in overall costs. (ECF No. 45-4). This amount is comprised of obtaining medical records, shipping costs and the Court's filing fee. I have reviewed the requested costs and find them to be reasonable and I award them in full.

## CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$24,490.66** (representing \$23,256.40 in fees and \$1,234.26 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Kathy A. Lee.

---

<sup>3</sup> This amount consists of  $(\$470 - \$466 = \$4.00 \times 12.20 \text{ hrs} = \$48.80)$ .

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>4</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**

Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.